

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/800,007	10/800,007 03/15/2004		Michael Wessner	15540-023001 / 26 082, TR	6817	
26161	7590	07/07/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022				EVANS, GE	EVANS, GEOFFREY S	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	<b>,</b>			1725		
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summany	10/800,007	WESSNER, MICHAEL						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Geoffrey S. Evans	1725						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address –						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 De	ocember 2005							
	•							
<del>'=</del>	,_							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
Claim(s) <u>11-13 and 16</u> is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-10,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
<u> </u>								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) △ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)						
	-/							

Application/Control Number: 10/800,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7,14,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kojo et al. in Japan Patent No. 11-141,822. Kojo et al. disclose a nozzle of a laser processing head comprising a laser beam outlet, a first gas supply channel(11), a second gas supply channel(8), an inner sleeve (B), an outer sleeve (A) surrounding the inner sleeve, a first annular cavity (9) defined by the outer sleeve and arranged concentrically with the first cavity. Whether the outlets are used to supply cutting or welding gas appears to be a matter of intended use that does not appear to patentably distinguish the claimed apparatus over Kojo et al.. No particular structure appears to be required by the "for supplying a cutting gas .. for laser cutting" and "for supplying a welding gas.. for laser welding".
- 3. Claims 1-7,14,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Freneaux et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,418,350. Freneaux et al. disclose a nozzle of a laser processing head comprising a laser beam outlet, a first gas supply channel(18), a second gas supply channel(5), an inner sleeve (11), an outer sleeve surrounding the inner sleeve, a first annular cavity defined by the outer sleeve and arranged concentrically with the first cavity. Whether the outlets are used to supply cutting or welding gas appears to be a matter of intended use that does not appear to patentably

Application/Control Number: 10/800,007 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

distinguish the claimed apparatus over Freneaux et al.. No particular structure appears to be required by the "for supplying a cutting gas .. for laser cutting" and "for supplying a welding gas.. for laser welding".

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kojo et al. in Japan Patent No. 11-141,822 in view of Mori in U.S. Patent No. 5,308,951. Mori teaches using a parabolic mirror in a laser machine head to focus the laser beam. It would have been obvious to adapt Kojo et al. in view of Mori to provide this to focus a high power laser beam.
- 6. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freneaux et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,418,350 in view of Mori in U.S. Patent No. 5,308,951. Mori teaches using a parabolic mirror in a laser machine head to focus the laser beam. It would have been obvious to adapt Freneaux et al. in view of Mori to provide this to focus a high power laser beam.
- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims of record have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Claims 11-13 and 16 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,007

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey S Evans whose telephone number is (571)-272-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30AM to 4:00 PM, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on (571)-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

**GSE** 

Geoffrey S. Evans Primary Examiner Group 1700